Why Conservatives Ignore Anti-Whiteness: Identity Politics Is Not Our Problem

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2020/10/19/why-conservatives-ignore-anti-whiteness-identity-politics-is-not-our-problem

Tony Odarg October 19, 2020

WHITENESS

Your DNA is an abomination

By Rudy Martinez
Opinions Columnist

"Now I am become white, the destroyer of worlds."

When I think of all the white people I have ever encountered - whether they've been professors, peers, lovers, friends, police officers, et cetera - there is perhaps only a dozen I would consider "decent."

My colleague, Tafari Robertson, in his brilliant column "Debunking the Myth of White Majority," already exposed whiteness in the United States as a construct used to perpetuate a system of racist power. This column functions, however, within a different definition of whiteness: to be white in the United States is to be a descendant of those Europeans who chose to abandon their identity in search of something "new" - stolen land.

Racial categories - white, black, brown, red, et cetera - are used to subjugate non-white people. This bending of semantics upholds a white supremacist society. As someone "white," whether you know it or not regardless of your socio-economic standing, you benefit from privilege. In Texas, a bizarre state I have now inhabited for four years, I continuously meet individuals that either deny the existence of white privilege or fail to do something productive with it.

It has been argued before that many labels dissident Whites use are in effect not to our advantage. For example, using the terms racist to refer to anti-White language is incorrect, since racism is only ever defined as racism when it is used to guilt-trip Whites. Therefore, Whites should just simply use the term anti-White. It is more visceral and does not presume the morality of liberalism, which is that racism is in fact bad (e.g. having an out-group is immoral). Yet, there is much ado on the dissident right about all politics being identity politics. This is somewhat, though not completely true (from Unz):

> For, "Whatever is convulsing the country, it's not identity politics. Blacks are not being pitted against Hispanics. Hispanics are not being sicced on Asians, and Ameri-Indians aren't being urged to attack the groups just mentioned. Rather, they're all piling on honky."

In other words, true identity politics would not only allow Whites to collectivize racially; it would also pit the various non-White groups against each other. Further, all the non-racial groups (e.g. LGBTQ, women, etc) would be pitted against the racial groups and against each other. In fine, there would be no collaboration between any groups. Every group would oppose every other one. This is of course, naïve to say the least. It is in the nature of human beings as groups to collaborate with those they can most get along with in order to oppose a common enemy, especially if that enemy is fundamentally opposed to their agenda:

> In relation to reality, this kind of conservative political preening (identity politics is all bad) is a bit of a farce. Why so? Because undergirding the factional identity politics so roundly condemned is a practiced anti-whiteness. Hispanic, LGBT, plutocrat and feminist: All would concur. The road to their political salvation is in collaborating to extinguish "white dominant culture."
>
> Used in the main by media conservatives, "identity politics" is invoked, consciously or unconsciously, it would seem, because conservatives want to be nice. To be nice, one must remain in denial of things not nice. Conservatives refuse to come to terms with the fact that our politics are almost exclusively anti-white, and not anti-Other, more exotic identities.
>
> It's also considered politically incorrect or "racist" to advocate on behalf of whites—even if whites qua whites are being dangerously maligned and marginalized; even if there is an anti-white sentiment that runs through our institutions, both public and private.

The road to utopia, and it is a true utopia if by that is understood a delusional future unattainable, for conservatives is ultimately the dissolution of group identity. They just want to simply ignore it completely and they thereby disarm their ability to talk about it properly. The left is not opposed to any group as a group except Whites. Yet the conservative solution is unilateral disarmament by Whites of their collective identity. And how do conservatives do it:

> They pretend that "identity politics," being an excrescence of Democratic politics, aims to divide one and all. To that end, conservatives routinely resort to the "Dems are dividing us" routine. By so doing, conservatives, ever eager to get along, also virtue-signal their position as seekers of national unity. "We're in this together; Democrats aren't."
>
> That's a whole other level of denial, given that, it's not exclusively identity politics that Democrats are presiding over, but politics dangerously anti-white. Blacks are not berating Hispanics for dominating industries they previously dominated; Asians aren't complaining about Ameri-Indians being given university slots they've earned. Rather, blacks, Hispanics and the rest are all piling on whites.

Also, it is important to note that there is no effort on the part of the left to evaporate the differences between the various non-White racial or non-racial groups. The left doesn't want Hispanics to become like Blacks or for gays to become women. They actually want these groups to remain distinct, while uniting against their common enemy: Whites as a collective, racial group.

Now if it is true, and it is, that Whites as a collective, racial group are the common enemy of all other racial and non-racial groups, the converse is also true. Namely, if Whites are the enemies of non-Whites and their bizarre allies, like LGBT people, then that means non-Whites and their allies are the enemies of White people. In other words, not only are we their enemies, they are our enemies as well.

What do you call a game where only one side can play by the rules or even knows the war is going on? You call that a rigged game. If Whites can be opposed and don't even know they are opposed or are excluded from collectivizing in order to oppose properly, they will lose. We will lose. In conclusion, the only hope for

Whites is not simply to advocate for our own collectively but to understand our enemy is all other identity groups. We are not simply their enemy, they are ours as well. And we better start acting like it.

Links

Unz article: https://www.unz.com/imercer/why-conservatives-ignore-white-hating-politics/
Archive: https://archive.vn/Re0Qd

Common enemy: https://www.compasspoint.org/blog/challenging-white-dominant-culture-time-look-mirror
Archive: https://archive.vn/oeoHb

Original: http://www.ilanamercer.com/2019/02/not-identity-politics-anti-white-politics/
Archive: https://archive.vn/dwOYZ

Lol: https://www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/why-identity-politics-kills-democracy/
Archive: https://archive.vn/qEdf1

Summary: https://twitter.com/IlanaMercer/status/1314258865366552576
Archive: https://archive.vn/gSlfz